

THE U.P. REAL ESTATE APPELLATE TRIBUNAL, LUCKNOW

Division Bench Court No. 2

APPEAL-349/2022

GREATER NOIDA INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

.....Appellant

Versus

MANISH KUMAR AGARWAL

.....Respondent

Counsel for Appellant

RAJESH CHADHA

Counsel for Respondent

KUSHAGRA DIKSHIT

Hon'ble Mr. T.B. Singh, Judicial Member

Hon'ble Mr. K.K. Jain, Technical Member

Case called on.

Counsel Sri Rajesh Chadha on behalf of appellant, and counsel Sri Kushagra Dixit on behalf of respondent appeared.

Counsel for the appellant submitted that he has not received complete copy of the review petition till date.

Counsel for the respondent submitted that he has uploaded all the copies of review petition but counsel for the appellant is denying the same unnecessarily to linger on the case.

Counsel for the respondent is again directed to send copy of the review petition through e-mail on the respective e-mail addresses of the appellant and its counsel during the course of the day.

Counsel for the appellant is directed to file reply to the review petition, and copy of same will be sent on the respective e-mail addresses of the respondent and his counsel, within 10 days.

List this case on **25.11.2022** for further order.

Both the counsels are directed to appear on the date fixed. If either of them fails to appear, the review petition shall be disposed of as per provisions of the Act.

(K.K. Jain)

(T.B. Singh)

Dated: 09.11.2022

PRADEEPKUMAR