

THE U.P. REAL ESTATE APPELLATE TRIBUNAL, LUCKNOW

Division Bench Court No. 1

APPEAL-16/2025

MOHAMMAD SHAHID KHAN

.....Appellant

Versus

THE UTTAR PRADESH REAL ESTATE REGULATORY
AUTHORITY

.....Respondent

Counsel for Appellant
SAGHAR MEHDI

Counsel for Respondent

Hon'ble Mr. Justice Suneet Kumar, Chairman

Hon'ble Mr. Devindar Singh Chaudhry, Technical Member

Sri Vanshaj Shukla, Advocate, holding brief of Sri Saghar Mehdi, learned counsel for appellant is present. He submits that the defect, as pointed out by the Registry, has been removed. Certified copy of the order has been brought on record.

Pursuant to notice being issued on 23.01.2025 to the first and second respondent, the notice came to be served upon the respondents through e-mail on their registered e-mail ID. The notice was also served through speed post. As per the track consignment report, notice through speed post was served upon the first respondent, however, the notice could not be served upon the second respondent, accordingly the envelop was returned with an endorsement that the whereabouts of the addressee is not known.

Thereafter, pursuant to order dated 17.02.2025, appellant was permitted to take steps to serve the notice upon the second respondent through publication. The notice came to be duly published in daily edition of Nav Bharat Times (Hindi) and Times of India (English) on 28.02.2025. The publications of the daily newspapers have been brought on record duly supported by affidavit dated 05.03.2025.

In view thereof, the service of notice upon the respondents are deemed sufficient.

Respondents are granted 10 days' time for filing objections. The appellant may file rejoinder affidavit within a week thereafter.

List this case for orders on **01.09.2025**.

(Devindar Singh Chaudhry)

(Suneet Kumar)

Dated: 06.08.2025
IRFAN