

THE U.P. REAL ESTATE APPELLATE TRIBUNAL, LUCKNOW

Division Bench Court No. 1

APPEAL-306/2021

U.P. AVAS EVAM VIKAS PARISHAD

.....Appellant

Versus

SHOBHIT CHATURVEDI

.....Respondent

Counsel for Appellant

Counsel for Respondent

ANOOP KUMAR ASTHANA

AKHAND PRATAP SINGH

Hon'ble Mr. Justice (Dr.) D.K. Arora, Chairman

Hon'ble Mr. K.K. Jain, Technical Member

In this appeal though Dr. Azhar Ikram, Advocate appeared on behalf of the respondent and sought 10 days' time for filing vakalatnama alongwith objections on 11.05.2022, but neither he filed his vakalatnama nor objections.

Vide order dated 04.07.2022, a weeks' and no more time was granted to the respondent to file objections to the grounds of appeal and it was made clear that if no objection is filed and/or no one appears on behalf of the respondent on the next date of listing, the matter will proceed ex-parte against the respondent.

As no one is present on behalf of the respondent and respondent didn't choose to file objections despite the awareness of present proceedings, therefore we are left with no other option but to proceed ex-parte against the respondent.

Heard Shri Akhand Pratap Singh, learned counsel for the appellant.

Learned counsel for the appellant submitted that though he has raised various grounds to challenge the impugned order, but he confine his prayer only to ground nos. A, B, G & H.

A specific query was made to the learned counsel for the appellant as to whether he wants to place reliance on any judgment in support of his submission. Learned counsel for the appellant submitted that he doesn't want to place reliance on any judgment and he is pressing the case only on the aforesaid grounds.

Judgment reserved.

(K.K. Jain)

(D.K. Arora)

Dated: 26.07.2022

GAURAVSRI