

THE U.P. REAL ESTATE APPELLATE TRIBUNAL, LUCKNOW

Division Bench Court No. 1

APPEAL-101/2020

U.P. AVAS EVAM VIKAS PARISHAD

.....Appellant

Versus

ARVIND CHAUDHARY

.....Respondent

Counsel for Appellant

AKHAND PRATAP SINGH

VIJAY PRATAP SINGH CHAUHAN

Counsel for Respondent

TUSHAR BAHADUR

Hon'ble Mr. Sanjai Khare, Judicial Member

Hon'ble Mr. Rameshwar Singh, Administrative Member

Shri Akhand Pratap Singh, Advocate is present on behalf of the appellant and Shri Tushar Bahadur, Advocate is present on behalf of the respondent.

It has been informed by the registry/office that in the judgment and order dated 09.01.2024 passed by this Tribunal, one digit of RERA Complaint Number has been wrongly typed as '6' instead of '9' on page no.1, in the title and para-1 & on page no. 38.

Perusal of above referred pages/paragraphs reveal that first digit of RERA Complaint has been mentioned as "6" instead of "9" at all the above mentioned places due to some typographical error. The aforesaid typographical error is necessary to be corrected.

Learned counsel for the appellant as well as learned counsel for the respondent has no objection regarding correction of typographical error in mentioning complaint number in the judgment and order dated 09.01.2024 passed in this appeal (Appeal No. 101/2020; U.P. Awas Evam Vikas Parishad Vs. Arvind Chaudhary).

Accordingly, the first digit of RERA Complaint number is corrected as "9" instead of "6" at all the places mentioned herein above in red ink and signed in the original order kept in the concerned file.

(Rameshwar Singh)

(Sanjai Khare)

Dated: 26.09.2024
SYEDTANVEER