

THE U.P. REAL ESTATE APPELLATE TRIBUNAL, LUCKNOW

Division Bench Court No. 2

APPEAL-101/2020

U.P. AVAS EVAM VIKAS PARISHAD

.....Appellant

Versus

ARVIND CHAUDHARY

.....Respondent

Counsel for Appellant

AKHAND PRATAP SINGH

VIJAY PRATAP SINGH CHAUHAN

Counsel for Respondent

TUSHAR BAHADUR

Hon'ble Mr. T.B. Singh, Judicial Member

Hon'ble Mr. K.K. Jain, Technical Member

File put up for hearing.

On behalf of appellant, counsel Sri Akhand Pratap Singh appeared. Respondent, Arvind Chaudhary is present with his counsel Sri Tushar Bahadur.

The order sheet reveals on the last date that appellant was directed to appear through Commissioner, authorized representative who is empowered to taken decision regarding settlement of the matter and appellant was also directed to file detailed calculation chart certified by C.A.

Counsel for respondent stated that he will file the calculation chart during the course of day as directed by this Tribunal on the last date.

It is astonishing that despite order of Tribunal neither Commissioner/A.R. of appellant has put appearance before this Tribunal nor certified calculation chart has been filed by appellant.

For this disobedience, we imposed at the cost of Rs.8,000/- on the appellant. Out of this cost of Rs.4,000/- is given to the respondent and rest cost Rs.4,000/- shall be deposited in the Tribunal's fund.

Appellant is further directed to appear through Commissioner/A.R on next date of listing.

Appellant is also directed to file clarified calculation chart as certified by C.A.

Respondent is directed to file proposal for settlement and calculation chart to appellant and its counsel within a week. Appellant is directed to send its reply in black and white to respondent through e-mail.

List on 22.04.2021 for hearing.

(K.K. Jain)

(T.B. Singh)

Dated: 23.03.2021
AAMIR