

THE U.P. REAL ESTATE APPELLATE TRIBUNAL, LUCKNOW

Division Bench Court No. 1

APPEAL-857/2022

PARAS GARG

.....Appellant

Versus

MR. SANJAY SINGHALMR. SANJAY SINGHAL

.....Respondent

Counsel for Appellant

TUSHAR BAHADUR

SACHIN GUPTA

Counsel for Respondent

DR. AZHAR IKRAM

MANISH SINGH

Hon'ble Mr. Justice (Dr.) D.K. Arora, Chairman

Hon'ble Mr. K.K. Jain, Technical Member

Sri Sachin Gupta learned counsel for the applicant submitted that he has filed a misc application under the signature of learned counsel for the respondent but he may be permitted not to press the said application.

Appreciating the request of learned counsel for the applicant, we permit the application to be not pressed.

Accordingly, miscellaneous application No. 218/2023 is **dismissed** and not pressed.

Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted 10 days time for filing reply to the objections of the respondent.

Learned counsel for the applicant submitted that he may be permitted to visit the project site so that he may examine the completion of the work of the unit in question. We see no reason why the respondent will not permit the appellant to visit the site of the unit.

Learned counsel for the respondent submitted that it is the right of the appellant to visit the site but it will be appropriate if he informs in advance in writing about his proposed visit so that necessary assistance is provided.

Appreciating the submission of the learned counsel for the respondent, it is directed that whenever the appellant want to visit the site of the unit , he will

inform the respondent in writing at least 24 hours in advance and respondent will ensure the visit of appellant to the unit booked by the appellant.

On the joint request of the learned counsels, list this appeal for hearing on **01.11.2023.**

(K.K. Jain)

(D.K. Arora)

Dated: 19.09.2023
MANSINGH72