

THE U.P. REAL ESTATE APPELLATE TRIBUNAL, LUCKNOW

Division Bench Court No. 1

APPEAL-349/2022

GREATER NOIDA INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITYAppellant

Versus

MANISH KUMAR AGARWAL

.....Respondent

Counsel for Appellant
RAJESH CHADHA

Counsel for Respondent
KUSHAGRA DIKSHIT
FUHAR GUPTA

Hon'ble Mr. Justice Suneet Kumar, Chairman

Hon'ble Mr. Devindar Singh Chaudhry, Technical Member

Ms.Anushka Sharma, Advocate, holding brief of Sri Amandeep Singh, learned counsel for appellant promoter and Ms.Fuhar Gupta, learned counsel for respondent are present.

Learned counsel for appellant promoter, on instructions, submits that the plot on which project is to be developed is *sub judice* before Hon'ble High Court at Allahabad and an injunction order is operative prohibiting the appellant promoter from changing the nature of the plot. In view thereof, the Board in its resolution dated 20.08.2025 has resolved not to offer alternative plot to the allottees as that would create several difficulties. Further, the appellant promoter has offered all such allottees, affected by the Hon'ble High Court's order, to take refund of their deposits at four percent interest.

Learned counsel for respondent prays for and is granted time to seek instructions in the matter.

List this case for orders on **23.09.2025**.

(Devindar Singh Chaudhry)

(Suneet Kumar)

Dated: 04.09.2025
IRFAN