

THE U.P. REAL ESTATE APPELLATE TRIBUNAL, LUCKNOW

Division Bench Court No. 1

APPEAL-327/2020

GREATER NOIDA INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITYAppellant

Versus

MAHESH KUMAR SHARMARespondent

Counsel for Appellant
AJAY KUMAR GUPTA

Counsel for Respondent
VIJAY KUMAR SINGH

Hon'ble Mr. Justice (Dr.) D.K. Arora, Chairman
Hon'ble Mr. T.B. Singh, Judicial Member
Hon'ble Mr. Rajiv Misra, Administrative Member

Case called on.

Appellant is absent.

Counsel Sri Vijay Kumar Singh is present on behalf of respondent.

Sri Mahesh Kumar Sharma, respondent in person is present in pursuance to the order dated 24.11.2021.

A request for adjournment has been received from Sri Ajay Kumar Gupta, learned counsel for the appellant, on the ground of illness.

As far as adjournment on the ground of illness is concerned, this Tribunal always accepts and honours such requests and adjourns the case, but if some counsel is appearing from outside or any party is called upon in pursuance of order of Tribunal then it is duty of the counsel seeking adjournment to inform well in time to other side so that the person will be saved of the travel and other expenses.

Since counsel for the appellant has not informed the other side about seeking adjournment and the respondent in person is present in pursuance of order dated 24.11.2021, therefore in the interest of justice, we accept the request for adjournment of Sri Ajay Kumar Gupta, learned counsel for the appellant at the cost of Rs. 5,000/= and the same will be paid to the respondent in cash by the counsel for the appellant for not informing regarding seeking adjournment and for loss of time and money spent on the travel, stay, etc. so that same can be compensated.

List this appeal on **12.01.2022** for further orders.

(Rajiv Misra)

(T.B. Singh)

(D.K. Arora)

Dated: 21.12.2021
PRADEEPKUMAR