

THE U.P. REAL ESTATE APPELLATE TRIBUNAL, LUCKNOW

Division Bench Court No. 2

MISC. CASE-10/2020

M/S OM MUKTI PATH PVT. LTD.

.....Appellant

Versus

M/S VARDHMAN INFRA DEVELOPERS PVT. LTD.

.....Respondent

Counsel for Appellant

RAHUL KUMAR

Counsel for Respondent

SUCHITA SINGH

Hon'ble Mr. T.B. Singh, Judicial Member

Hon'ble Mr. K.K. Jain, Technical Member

List revised.

Case called on.

Counsel Sri Rahul Kumar appeared on behalf of applicant and counsel Miss Neha Singh holding brief of counsel Smt. Shuchita Singh appeared on behalf of respondent.

The last order-sheet dated 22.11.2021 reveals that both the parties were directed to appear through Director/authorized representative alongwith their counsels, but today on behalf of applicant, legal representative Sri Sachin Sharma alongwith its counsel Sri Rahul Kumar appeared. But on behalf of respondent Director/authorized representative is not present.

The absence of Director/authorized representative of the respondent obviously shows the contempt of Tribunal's order, hence cost of Rs. 10,000/= is imposed upon respondent.

Heard on the point of limitation application dated 05.12.2020 of the learned counsel for the applicant/appellant.

As per RERA Portal, the RERA order dated 16.01.2019 was uploaded on 17.01.2019 in Complaint No. 220187343 stating that Complaint No. 220187343 was rejected on 13.07.2018, but there is no order as per Portal of RERA on 13.07.2018.

Judgment reserved on the point of limitation.

(K.K. Jain)

(T.B. Singh)

Dated: 16.12.2021

PRADEEPKUMAR