

THE U.P. REAL ESTATE APPELLATE TRIBUNAL, LUCKNOW

Division Bench Court No. 1

APPEAL-121/2022

SUNIL KUMAR MISRA

.....Appellant

Versus

KANPUR DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY KANPUR DEVELOPMENT
AUTHORITY

.....Respondent

Counsel for Appellant
PRAKHAR DIXIT

Counsel for Respondent
BHUPENDRA SINGH

Hon'ble Mr. Justice (Dr.) D.K. Arora, Chairman
Hon'ble Mr. Sanjai Khare, Judicial Member

Shri Somendra Singh, Advocate is present on behalf of the respondent.

A request was received from the appellant for hearing of appeal through video conferencing. The Bench Secretary informed that appellant could not be connected on account of poor connectivity.

The record reveals that vide order dated 07.07.2023, the appellant was granted a week's time to place on record the copy of online complaint filed before the Regulatory Authority by the appellant. Once again vide order dated 03.04.2024, the appellant was granted a week's time for the said purpose.

As the appellant could not be connected through video conferencing on account of poor connectivity, list this appeal for hearing **on 02.07.2024**.

Record reveals that Shri Prakhar Dixit, Advocate initially appeared on behalf of the appellant and his Vakalatnama has not been withdrawn yet. Therefore, in future no request of the appellant for hearing through video conferencing will be entertained till Shri Prakhar Dixit, Advocate appears on behalf of the appellant. The facility of video conferencing is provided to the parties in case of personal difficulty like illness etc., otherwise the parties have to appear in person or through their legal representatives at the time of hearing.

(Sanjai Khare)

(D.K. Arora)

Dated: 02.05.2024
SYEDTANVEER