

THE U.P. REAL ESTATE APPELLATE TRIBUNAL, LUCKNOW

Division Bench Court No. 2

APPEAL-168/2019

ADITYA RANA

.....Appellant

Versus

MEERUT DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

.....Respondent

Counsel for Appellant

HARISH PANDEY

Counsel for Respondent

RAM RAJ

RISHABH RAJ

Hon'ble Mr. T.B. Singh, Judicial Member

Hon'ble Mr. K.K. Jain, Technical Member

Case called on.

Counsel Sri Harish Pandey on behalf of appellant, and counsel Sri Rishabh Raj on behalf of respondent appeared.

Counsel for the appellant submitted that impugned order dated 27.03.2019 transpires that the learned Regulatory Authority has observed that there is delay in handing over possession of the plot in question and interest should be given for delayed period, but in spite of that learned Regulatory Authority has missed to write the same regarding interest for the delayed period in the operating portion. He further submitted that this is the main grievance of the appellant.

Vehemently rebutting the arguments of counsel for the appellant, the learned counsel for the respondent submitted that appellant while receiving the possession of the plot in question in the May, 2019 never objected about the compensation/interest for the delayed period, but fairly accepted that the learned Regulatory Authority has observed delay in handing over the possession to the appellant.

Heard the learned counsels for the parties.

Judgment reserved.

(K.K. Jain)

(T.B. Singh)

Dated: 18.05.2022
PRADEEPKUMAR