

THE U.P. REAL ESTATE APPELLATE TRIBUNAL, LUCKNOW

Division Bench Court No. 1

APPEAL-647/2023

VIPIN UPADHYAY

.....Appellant

Versus

UTTAR PRADESH REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY
THROUGH ITS SECRETARY

.....Respondent

Counsel for Appellant
TUSHAR BAHADUR

Counsel for Respondent
AMISH DABAS
SHOBHIT MOHAN SHUKLA

Hon'ble Mr. Sanjai Khare, Judicial Member

Hon'ble Mr. Rameshwar Singh, Administrative Member

Sri Tushar Bahadur, learned counsel for appellant is present.

Sri Ankit Kumar, Advocate, holding brief of Sri Shobhit Mohan Shukla, learned counsel for respondent no.1 is present. Sri Amish Ram Dabas, learned counsel for respondent no.2 is also present.

Defects have been removed by the appellant.

Learned counsel for respondent nos.1 and 2 state that they have filed their objections.

Learned counsel for appellant seeks and is granted 7 days' time to file reply to the objections filed by respondent nos.1 & 2. He is directed to upload soft copy of reply on the e-mail IDs of respondent nos.1 & 2 within 7 days.

Learned counsel for appellant has informed that respondent no.3 has been served through e-mail and registered post but due to defective address of respondent no.3, it could not be served. Appellant has filed copy of conversation made through WhatsApp to the respondent no.3 (Antriksh Sanskriti Welfare Association through its Secretary) in which Mr.Jiten Dalai, President of the Association has replied "Not necessary to respond by association. It is a matter of RERA and Builder".

In view of above, we treat the service on respondent no.3 sufficient.

Learned counsel for respondent no.3 is granted 10 days' time to file objections to the memo of appeal. He is directed to upload soft copy of objections on the e-mail

ID of appellant. On receipt of the same, the appellant may file reply, if any, within 7 days.

List this case for orders on **03.10.2024**.

(Rameshwar Singh)

(Sanjai Khare)

Dated: 03.09.2024

IRFAN