

THE U.P. REAL ESTATE APPELLATE TRIBUNAL, LUCKNOW

Division Bench Court No. 1

APPEAL-624/2022

U.P. AWAS EVAM VIKAS PARISHAD

.....Appellant

Versus

MOHIT TYAGI MOHIT TYAGI

.....Respondent

Counsel for Appellant

SHIKHAR SRIVASTAVA

Counsel for Respondent

KAVIYA SINGH

RISHINDRA VIKRAM SINGH

Hon'ble Mr. Justice (Dr.) D.K. Arora, Chairman

Hon'ble Mr. Sanjai Khare, Judicial Member

Pleadings have been exchanged.

Sri Rishindra Vikram Singh, learned counsel for respondent wants some more time to examine the maintainability of the claim before seeking compensation before the Adjudicating Officer after continuing with the project and seeking possession of the booked unit.

Appreciating the request of Sri Rishindra Vikram Singh, learned counsel for respondent we grant a week's time to the respondent to prepare his brief and address the Tribunal on the maintainability of the complaint of the respondent before the Adjudicating Officer seeking compensation and how the claim has been raised before the Adjudicating Officer indicating violation of the provisions of Sections 12,14,18 and 19 of Act, 2016.

List this appeal on **11.07.2024** for orders/hearing along with Cross Appeal No.648/2022.

(Sanjai Khare)

(D.K. Arora)

Dated: 24.05.2024

IRFAN