

THE U.P. REAL ESTATE APPELLATE TRIBUNAL, LUCKNOW

Division Bench Court No. 1

APPEAL-435/2023

UPPAL CHADHA HI-TECH DEVELOPERS PVT. LTD.

.....Appellant

Versus

MR. RAJIV AGGARWAL AND MRS. SUMAN KHANNA
AGARWALMR. RAJIV AGGARWAL AND MRS. SUMAN
KHANNA AGARWAL

.....Respondent

Counsel for Appellant
AMIT YADAV
BAL KISHOR VERMA

Counsel for Respondent

Hon'ble Mr. Justice (Dr.) D.K. Arora, Chairman

Hon'ble Mr. Sanjai Khare, Judicial Member

Sri Amit Yadav, learned counsel for the applicant is present. No one is present on behalf of respondent.

Order sheet reveals that Ms Aahuti Agrawal, Advocate was appeared on behalf of respondent on 18.08.2023 and on her request; 10 days' time was granted for filing objections to the application for condonation of delay as well as grounds of appeal. But neither any vakalatnama nor objections to the application for condonation of delay as well as grounds of appeal have been filed by or on behalf of respondent. As Ms Aahuti Agarwal, Advocate has already appeared on behalf of respondent, it cannot be said the respondent is not aware of the present proceedings.

We, therefore, stop the opportunity of the respondent to file objections to the application for condonation of delay as well as grounds of appeal and will proceed ex-party against respondent.

List this defective appeal for consideration of application for condonation of delay and hearing on **15.02.2024**.

The counsel for respondent is, however, at liberty to address the Tribunal on the basis of available documents, memo of appeal as well as legal issues in the absence of the reply of respondent.

(Sanjai Khare)

(D.K. Arora)

Dated: 08.01.2024
MANSINGH72